

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,795	-	09/28/2004	Dinah B. Quiachon	ENDOV-65897	6259	
24201	7590	12/14/2005		EXAMINER		
FULWIDE				DAVIS, D	ANIEL J	
6060 CENT	ER DRIVI	3			·	
10TH FLOO	OR .			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90045	•	3733		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			E
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/700,795	QUIACHON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. Jacob Davis	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuton. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1 .		
•	This action is non-final.	,	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u		•	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 21-30 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fall b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in a ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	;
	•		
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/15/2004. 	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/700,795

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear whether or not the anchoring mechanism is claimed.

Examiner assumes for purposes of examination that the structure is not claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 21 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,816,028 to Kapadia et al. Kapadia discloses a bifurcated graft comprising a main tubular body with legs having peaks and valleys. A radiopaque marker including the longitudinal threads illustrated in figure 2 is located within valleys.

Art Unit: 3733

Claims 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,628,783 to Quiachon et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quiachon et al. In figure 37, Quiachon discloses radiopaque markers 255, but fails to disclose that the markers are located in the valleys. One of ordinary skill in the art would know to locate the marker at any location on the device to indicate device location. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the radiopaque marker in a valley to indicate to a user the location of the device.

Claims 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kapadia et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,489,295 to Piplani et al. Kapadia is silent regarding anchors. Piplani discloses anchors 126 and 127 attached to the main tubular member and a first leg respectively, to maintain the graft in proper position. Piplani fails to disclose a third anchor attached to the second leg. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach first and second anchors to the main tubular member and a

Art Unit: 3733

first leg of the Kapadia device as taught by Piplani to maintain the graft in proper position.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a third anchor attached to the second leg to maintain the second leg in proper position.

Claims 26-28 are rejected under 5 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kapadia et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,693,087 to Parodi. Kapadia is silent regarding anchors. Parodi discloses anchors 166 to "secure the thin-walled member 166, and connected tube 160 within aorta 152." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include anchors at least on the ends of the Kapadia graft as taught by Parodi in order to secure the graft to the aorta.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4693. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo C. Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,795

Art Unit: 3733

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DJD

EDUARDO C. ROBERT PRIMARY EXAMINER